Fibroadenoma in axillary accessory breast: a case report
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ABSTRACT

The mass are among the possible alterations observed in the axilla. When found, the most frequent differential diagnosis are lymphadenopathy, metastatic lymphadenomegaly, lymphoma, lipoma or tumors in the apocrine glands. Besides that, the presence of accessory breast tissue must also be considered and, as the topical breast tissue, can be the target of breast diseases, either benign or malignant. Female patient, 23 years old, with the presence of hardened palpable node in the right axilla. At the ultrasound, it presented characteristics that classified it as Bi-Rads® 4. An aspiration biopsy of the node was performed with fine-needle, which resulted in unsatisfying material. After the explanation of the therapeutic choices, the patient opted for the excision of the axillary node. The anatomical pathological result showed a nodular formation compatible with fibroadenoma. The occurrence of a node in the axillary region is common. However, in the vast majority of times, it is merely an inflammatory response, manifested as a lymphadenomegaly. In case of chronic mass with suspicious characteristics, it is convenient to suspect the presence of lymphoid neoplasms, locoregional metastasis of breast cancer or melanoma and alterations in accessory breast tissue. In young patients, it is important to evaluate the existence of accessory breast tissue with the presence of suspicious axillary node, because, although controversial, some authors believe that such alterations occur more frequently in these patients. Additionally, in cases of inconclusive imaging, an excision of the lesion must be performed for a definite diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

The mass are among the possible alterations observed in the axilla. When found, the most frequent differential diagnosis are lymphadenopathy, metastatic lymphadenomegaly, lymphoma, lipoma or tumors in the apocrine glands. Besides that, the presence of accessory breast tissue (ABT) can also be listed¹-⁵.

The frequency of accessory breast is 5.19% in women and 1.68% in men. The most commonly affected place is the axilla (particularly its inferior portion), responding for, approximately, 60% to 70% of the cases¹². This anatomical variation occurs as a result of alterations in the formation of the breast tissue during the embryonic development and appears most frequently in the milk lines, which goes from the axilla until the pubic area¹³. It can be unilateral or bilateral. In most cases, its repercussion is merely aesthetic⁴. The conduct regarding the ABT is essentially conservative, although the surgical treatment may be reserved to those situations in which it generates physical, aesthetic or emotional alterations and the patient shows the desire to remove it. However, the ABT, as the topical breast tissue, may become the target of breast diseases, either benign or malignant²⁴.

Among the alterations that affect the topical breast tissue, the fibroadenoma is most commonly found in the premenopausal period, being a frequent cause of mass in young women, with higher incidence from 20 to 30 years old. It manifests itself as a nodular lesion, frequently unique, movable, with slow growth. At the mammography, a homogeneous, oval and confined node is observed.⁴ However, at the ABT, it is a rarely described finding⁵. Due to the small number of cases reported by the medical literature, we intended to report one case treated at the Mastology Department of the Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais.
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CASE REPORT
Female patient at 23 years old was taken to the Mastology Service due to the emergence of a palpable node of hard consistency in the right axilla. Denies personal or family history of gynecological cancer; denies breast cancer in first-degree relatives. The patient did not present other alterations at the physical exam. An ultrasound was performed in the breasts and axilla (Figure 1), which showed a solid, irregular, heterogeneous, hypoechoic node, with indistinctive margins, with the larger axis in parallel to the skin, without post acoustic phenomenon and with central vascularization at the Doppler, in the right axilla (Figure 2). Its dimensions were 1.5 × 0.7 cm (Bi-Rads® 4). The findings above mentioned discarded the hypothesis of a possible lymphadenomegaly.

The patient was, then, submitted to a fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB). The material obtained and sent for analysis was unsatisfying (fixation artifacts). After the inconclusive material, it was explained the therapeutic possibilities, as well as its risks, or even investigation possibilities of the nature of the nodule, like using ultrasound-guided core needle biopsy before an excisional biopsy. The patient opted for the excision of the axillary node. The anatomical-pathological result evidenced a nodular formation of 1.9 × 2.0 cm, which at the microscope presented a benign and biphasic neoplasia with epithelial component constituted by ramified tubules and occasionally enlarged when at the fibroblastic stromal component, with a delicate fibrous capsule delimiting it from the adjacent breast tissue, compatible with fibroadenoma in axillary accessory breast (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION
The occurrence of a node in the axillary region is not unusual. However, at the vast majority of cases, it is merely an inflammatory response, manifested as a lymphadenomegaly. In case of chronic mass with suspicious characteristics, such as adherence to deep plans, absence of pain, irregular surface, and stony, it is convenient to suspect the presence of lymphoid neoplasms, locoregional metastasis of breast cancer or melanoma and alterations in ABT 3. In this case, an adequate investigation of differential diagnostic through biochemical exams, imaging and percutaneous biopsy is necessary, having the best conduct of the patient in mind.

The presence of ABT is well documented by the medical literature; however, the presence of benign or malign tumors in this tissue is something that has been rarely reported 3,5, not allowing, therefore, conclusions regarding its most common presentation form.

Table 1 summarizes a systematic search in the literature for cases involving the topic fibroadenoma in ABT filed at the PubMed. For the conclusion of the research, the following term associations were used: “fibroadenoma” and “supernumerary breast”/“fibroadenoma” and “ectopic breast”/“fibroadenoma” and “axilla”/“fibroadenoma” and “axillary breast”. All reports of cases of fibroadenoma in ABT with a summary available were considered and used, totaling 19 articles, with 22 cases reported.

Figure 1. Ultrasonography of the right axilla.

Figure 2. Ultrasonography, with Doppler effect, of the right axilla.

Figure 3. Benign neoplasia, biphasic, well delimited of the adjacent breast tissue through a delicate fibrous capsule. Hematoxilina-Eosina (HE) 20.
The articles were dated from 1982 to 2012, and the average age of the patients involved in the researches was 33 years old (18–30 years old). In regards to the location site of the ABT found, as well as in the patient, the most affected region is the axilla, mentioned in 10 articles (from 11 cases reported). Other locations were the vulva, mentioned in 6 articles (with 7 cases reported); the region below the breast (2 cases reported); the vaginal septum (1 case reported); and the anogenital region (1 case reported).

The mass, similarly to our report, were most prevalent in the right side, corresponding to 9 cases of the 12 documented. The left side was reported in 2 cases, and there was 1 case of bilateral involvement. Among the mass with a description of the size, the average identified was of 3.9 cm.

In certain cases, as in the case reported, the ABT is not clinically perceptible, making the association of a axillary node with a probable alteration of the breast parenchyma more difficult1,5.

However, at young patients, as well as in the case of the patient presented in this report, the suspicion of a ABT alteration as a result of the suspicious axillary node is very important because the accessory breast tissue, despite the controversy, may be affected by the same diseases and alterations that compromise topical breast tissue. However, due to its low incidence, diagnosis may be delayed or even ignored, thus making treatment more difficult. Then, when tumors or nodules are found along the mammary line, the presence of breast tissue should be considered during the investigation3,4.

Against the controversy about the greater chance of malignancy of the ABT and the worse prognosis, and considering the importance of the early diagnosis of breast carcinoma, surgeons are faced with the dilemma of surgical treatment or monitoring. In our case, due to the difficulties of the clinical diagnostic of ABT and the cytological diagnostic of fibroadenoma, the excision of the node was the choice made for the diagnostic conclusion.

### Table 1. Reports of cases of fibroadenoma in accessory breast tissue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Patient’s age</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Khan et al.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Below the breast</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Bertrand et al.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Urethral-vaginal Septum</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Aughsteen et al.7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Baisre et al.8</td>
<td>29 and 42</td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Conde et al.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Coras et al.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>20 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Ciralik et al.10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Eroglu11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Below the breast</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Odike et al.12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Carter et al.13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Cantú de Leon et al.14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>120 × 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Lucas et al.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Sawa et al.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Gentile et al.16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>50 × 65 (E) 55 × 65 (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Zhang et al.17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Senatore et al.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Ortiz-Mendoza19</td>
<td>36 ± 9</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>28 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Val-Bernal et al.18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Dhaoui et al.20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>30 × 30 × 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Current case</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19 × 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not documented. R: right; L: left.

**CONCLUSION**

Through the case report, it is possible to conclude the importance of taking into consideration the possibility of an alteration of the ABT faced with the presence of a suspicious node located in the breast line region. Additionally, in cases of inconclusive imaging and percutaneous biopsies for the diagnostic, the excision of the lesion must be performed for a definite conclusion.
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