

QUALITY OF LIFE IN BREAST CANCER: THE BENEFITS OF PET OWNERSHIP AND PARTICIPATION IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES

Qualidade de vida em câncer de mama: os benefícios da posse de animais de estimação e participação em atividades de lazer

Monique Binotto^{1*}, Tiago Daltoé², Fernanda Formolo², Patricia Kelly Wilmsen Dalla Santa Spada¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the benefits in the perception of quality of life (QoL) of women with breast cancer related with pet ownership and experiencing leisure activities. **Method:** Cross-sectional study comparing QoL among patients, by applying the WHOQOL-Bref questionnaire. The participants were 272 women diagnosed with breast cancer. **Results:** The pet owners (n=162) had better average of QoL in perceptions of global QoL (72.45 vs. 67.16; p=0.01), and in environmental (67.52 vs. 64.23; p=0.04) and psychological domains (70.29 vs. 66.44; p=0.03) when compared to non-pet owners. Moreover, the patients who reported having leisure activities (n= 214) had better average in perceptions of global QoL (72.43 vs. 62.50; p<0.001), and physical health domain (63.28 vs. 50.92; p<0.001), environmental (68.22 vs. 58.67; p<0.001), psychological (71.01 vs. 60.34; p<0.001) and social relationships (74.73 vs. 65.09; p<0.001) when compared to those who do not have leisure activities. **Conclusion:** Women with breast cancer who are owners of pets and patients who perform leisure activities had better levels of QoL.

KEYWORDS: Quality of life; breast neoplasms; pets; leisure activities; neoplasms.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar os benefícios na percepção de qualidade de vida (QV) de mulheres portadoras de câncer de mama relacionado à posse de animais de estimação e da vivência de atividade de lazer. **Métodos:** Estudo transversal comparando a QV entre as pacientes, mediante a aplicação do Questionário WHOQOL-Bref. Participaram da pesquisa 272 mulheres diagnosticadas com câncer de mama. **Resultados:** As pacientes tutoras de animais de estimação (n=162) obtiveram melhores médias de QV na percepção de QV global (72,45 vs. 67,16; p=0,01) e nos domínios meio ambiente (67,52 vs. 64,23; p=0,04) e psicológico (70,29 vs. 66,44; p=0,03) quando comparadas as não tutoras de animais de estimação (n=110). Ainda, aquelas que afirmaram realizar atividade de lazer (n=214) obtiveram melhores médias de QV na percepção de QV global (72,43 vs. 62,50; p<0,001) e nos domínios físico (63,28 vs. 50,92; p<0,001), meio ambiente (68,22 vs. 58,67; p<0,001), psicológico (71,01 vs. 60,34; p<0,001) e relações sociais (74,73 vs. 65,09; p<0,001) quando comparadas aquelas que não realizavam tal atividade (n=58). **Conclusão:** Pacientes com câncer de mama tutoras de animais de estimação e pacientes que realizam atividades de lazer tem melhores níveis de QV.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Qualidade de vida; neoplasias da mama; animais de estimação; atividades de lazer; neoplasias.

Study carried out at Instituto do Câncer do Hospital Pompéia – Caxias do Sul (RS), Brazil.

¹Centro Universitário da Serra Gaúcha – Caxias do Sul (RS), Brazil.

²Hospital Pompeia – Caxias do Sul (RS), Brazil.

*Corresponding author: moniquebinotto@gmail.com

Conflict of interests: nothing to declare.

Received on: 08/01/2016. Accepted on: 05/30/2017

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer diagnosis is related with consequences for most people who experience it, because of treatments and interventions they have undergone, and these factors can affect the quality of life (QoL) of patients¹. Thus, the QoL is established as an important result in the evaluation of a cancer patient. For the World Health Organization, the term QoL is defined as an individual's perception of his or her life position, in the context of culture, value system in which they live, regarding their goals, expectations, standards and concerns². So, it is understood that several specific factors may change the QoL of a cancer patient, in addition to the tumor itself, such as the patient's ability to cope with the illness and the presence or absence of a supportive environment during the period^{3,4}.

Based on this background, and on the many factors that can cover QoL, it is believed that the QoL of patients with breast cancer can benefit from pet ownership, as well as those benefits are reported in several populations⁵⁻⁷. Similarly, other authors reported that the experience of leisure activities has a positive impact on the QoL of different populations and, therefore, it is believed that these benefits can also be extended to this population of women with breast cancer⁸⁻¹⁰.

According to the Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde 2013 (National Health Research 2013), the population of dogs in Brazilian households was estimated in 52.2 million, comprising an average, 1.8 dogs per household with this animal. One has to consider that 44.3% of Brazilian households have at least one dog, equivalent to 28.9 million households with this animal¹¹. Currently, it is known that owning a dog has become a global phenomenon, so, according to the American Pet Products Association – National Pet Owners Survey, in the United States of America (USA), there are about 54.4 million households that have a dog as a pet, corresponding to 77.8 million pet owners¹².

Regarding pet ownership, it is known that during the last decade it has become widely acceptable that pets and care therapy animals may have positive effects on humans¹³. Thus, it is clear that many psychological benefits may arise directly from the companionship that dogs provide to people, since they are affectionate, demonstrate loyalty and the ability to relieve social isolation⁵.

Regarding leisure activities, studies have shown that such activities help the process of adaptation to new circumstances^{14,15}. Thus, it is believed that leisure contributes with the discovery of personal skills, building meaningful relationships, as well as helping to understand life after a traumatic experience¹⁴. Still, researchers argue that involvement in leisure activities assisted in increasing life satisfaction¹⁵.

Therefore, considering the lack of articles about the impact of pets and leisure activities on the QoL of Brazilian women with breast cancer, this study aims to evaluate the benefits in perception of QoL among women with breast cancer related to pet ownership and leisure activity experience.

METHODS

This study was conducted in Instituto do Câncer do Hospital Pompéia (INCAN), located in the city of Caxias do Sul, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. This is a cross-sectional study, including 272 women with breast cancer, who attended cancer treatment in the institution. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee under CAEE number 23407713.1.0000.5331 and an informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All study participants completed a self-administrated QoL questionnaire and a questionnaire developed separately.

The QoL was measured using a WHOQOL-Bref questionnaire, validated in Brazil¹⁶. In this questionnaire, the perception of QoL is measured according to four domains (physical health, environmental, psychological and social relationships) and according to global health perceptions. The results were expressed by scores obtained in each domain of QoL, recorded on a scale of 0-100; higher scores in each domain indicated better QoL.

Epidemiological data were collected from a questionnaire containing variables like age, marital status, schooling, lifestyle, pet ownership and the performance of leisure activities. To ensure greater consistency to the responses, participants were guided as to the merits of the responses of the specific variable, in this case, about pet ownership and the performance of leisure activities. Thus, those participants who had a domestic pet under their responsibility were the only ones considered to be pet owners. As for the performance of leisure activities, Kleiber & Nimrod (2009) defined the term as pleasant activities during the free individual time¹⁷; Pressman et al. (2009) exemplified them as activities in which individuals get involved voluntarily when they are free from work or other responsibilities, and may include sports, hobbies, social activities or setting with nature¹⁸.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using the software Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 22.0. The normality of the distribution of variables was tested graphically and confirmed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. For the analysis of the variables, the Student t-test was used and a value of $\alpha=0.05$ was adopted.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients at the time of the interview was 58.5(±11.6) years. About marital status, 56% were married, and regarding schooling, 47% had not completed elementary level. In relation to clinical staging, 67% were in stage I or II. In relation to surgical treatment, 50% were submitted to partial or total mastectomy without breast reconstruction. Concerning the family history of cancer (kinship of 1st or 2nd degree), it was present in 72% of the cases. In addition, 75% claimed to be non-smokers, and 80% claimed not to be alcoholic. Comorbidities were present in

66% of patients, and most of them presented with hypertension (32% of the cases).

It was observed that women who claimed to be pet owners (n=162) obtained better average of QoL in perceptions of global QoL (p=0.01) and in the environmental (p=0.04) and psychological (p=0.03) domains when compared to non-pet owners. Table 1 presents the results obtained in the QoL domains of the patients evaluated, comparing the pet owners and the non-pet owners.

Next, it was observed that women who reported performing leisure activities (n=214) obtained better averages of QoL in perceptions of global QoL (p<0.001) and in the physical health

(p<0.001), environmental (p<0.001), psychological (p<0.001), and social relationships (p<0.001) when compared to those who claimed to not perform leisure activities. Table 2 presents the results obtained in the QoL domains of patients evaluated, according the WHOQOL-Bref questionnaire, then compared to patients in the performance of leisure activities.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that pet ownership and the practice of leisure activities have a positive impact on the QoL of patients with breast cancer in several domains. Specifically, it was observed that

Table 1. Quality of life according to pet ownership (n=272).

WHOQOL-Bref	Pet ownership	N	Average	Standard deviation	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		P-value
						Lower	Upper	
Global*	Yes	162	72.45	16.49	5.30	1.07	9.51	0.01
	No	110	67.16	18.54				
Physical health	Yes	162	61.82	18.39	2.89	-1.48	7.26	0.19
	No	110	58.93	17.32				
Environment*	Yes	162	67.52	13.02	3.28	0.10	6.46	0.04
	No	110	64.23	13.14				
Psychological*	Yes	162	70.29	14.78	3.85	0.27	7.45	0.03
	No	110	66.44	14.78				
Social relationships	Yes	162	73.92	15.66	3.09	-1.34	7.51	0.17
	No	110	70.83	19.67				

*Significant value in Student t-test. Highest average in QoL indicates better quality of life.

Table 2. Quality of life according to practice of leisure activities (n=272).

WHOQOL-Bref	Leisure activities	N	Average	Standard deviation	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		P-value
						Lower	Upper	
Global*	Yes	214	72.43	16.05	9.93	4.96	14.90	<0.001
	No	58	62.50	20.41				
Physical health*	Yes	214	63.28	16.17	12.36	6.45	18.27	<0.001
	No	58	50.92	20.96				
Environment*	Yes	214	68.22	12.14	9.55	5.89	13.21	<0.001
	No	58	58.67	14.07				
Psychological*	Yes	214	71.01	13.17	10.66	5.70	15.63	<0.001
	No	58	60.34	17.70				
Social relationships*	Yes	214	74.73	16.62	9.64	4.69	14.60	<0.001
	No	58	65.09	18.37				

*Significant value in Student t-test. Highest average in QoL indicates better quality of life.

those patients who were pet owners had better average in perceptions of global QoL and in the environmental and psychological domains. Moreover, the practice of leisure activities proved to be beneficial in all QoL domains.

The improvement in the QoL of patients who own pets is in agreement with several studies that affirm that owning a pet results in benefits to the owner⁵⁻⁷. In this context, a study showed that pet owners reported less depression when compared to those without pets⁶. Also, a study with adult patients with chronic schizophrenia concluded that the group that participated in animal-assisted therapy showed more social contact, fewer disease symptoms and better QoL than the control group⁷.

Still, the results of this research show that leisure activities improve the QoL of these patients. Some other authors support these findings, arguing that leisure activities can improve the physical function, promote social interactions and improve health and psychological well-being in adults¹⁸⁻²⁰.

Pets are believed to enhance the feelings of autonomy and self-esteem in their owners⁵. Thus, knowing that the global perceptions of QoL takes into account the judgment each person makes about their QoL by evaluating the facets regarding global QoL and general health perceptions¹⁶, we concluded that living with pets improves the perceptions that these individuals have about their own QoL. We understand that the improvement of the pet owner's self-esteem generated by the pet leads to better health perceptions, in the same way that Orlandi et al. (2007) believe that dog-assisted therapy results in effects on the perceptions of symptoms of cancer patients. The study found that pets have the ability to distract the patient when it comes to some symptoms related to this disease, which improves the health perceptions²¹. This thesis is also supported by Friedmann & Son (2009), who claim that the pet's company reduces the suffering to change the owner's perception, by making the situations seem less stressful²².

Breast cancer affects the QoL and health perception of patients². Thus, the results of this research shows that the practice of leisure activities can be beneficial for perceptions, measured in global QoL, compared to those patients who do not perform this type of activity. These results are in accordance with some authors who believe that greater participation in leisure activities is associated with good perception of QoL and improvements in the health context and general perceptions^{10,20}. This can be explained by the belief that the leisure activities can assist social support and thus mediate the process of stressful perceptions, as well as help the adaptation to possible restrictions caused by conditions or chronic diseases^{23,24}.

It is known that among the facets measured by the environmental domain, there are matters regarding physical safety, security and home environment¹⁶. In this study, it was observed that patients who were pet owners, as well as those participating in leisure activities, had better average of QoL.

In this context, pet ownership can contribute with a sense of security and protection, which is one of the main reasons why people adopt a dog²⁵; so, since this fact is restricted to pet owners, we believe that the improvement of this domain is owed to these factors resulting from the ownership of dogs. In addition, the environment in which the person is inserted can determine their independence and autonomy. Since it can lead to dependence on other people to perform certain activities that the person could perform alone, if he were in a safe environment^{19,26}. Therefore, in a study by Pereira et al. (2006), the environmental domain was the one that mostly contributed with the increasing QoL of the individuals¹⁹. It is believed that the fact of living in a safe environment, for these patients, may be crucial to improve the opportunities of leisure and, consequently, increase the compliance in this type of activity.

Some authors support the thesis that pet ownership generates psychological benefits to people^{5,27}. Accordingly, it was shown that patients who were pet owners obtained better results in the psychological domain of QoL. Therefore, pet ownership is believed to be responsible for psychological benefits in the QoL of this group of patients.

Furthermore, the psychological domain had a positive impact when comparing participating and not participating in leisure activities – the group of people who participated has benefits in QoL. This result is in accordance with that of Argimon et al. (2004), which claims that the performance in cognitive skills is proportionally better when there is involvement in leisure activities. This leads to positive perceptions of life, and, consequently, favors the QoL⁹. In this context, and considering that the population of this study is composed of women with breast cancer, we support Ponde and Santana's (2000) thesis, according to which the participation in leisure activities can help to maintain the mental health of women in adverse conditions⁸ – breast cancer, in this case.

It is a known fact that the social relations domain measures facets regarding personal relations, social support and sexual activity¹⁶, emphasizing interpersonal associations, but not considering other sources of social support, such as pets. Therefore, it is believed that this fact influenced the significance of the domain when evaluating the QoL of pet owners and non-pet owners. On the other hand, when related to leisure activities, it is believed that these activities can mediate the relationship between health and social relations¹⁰, since cancer usually results in the social isolation of the patient²⁸, and therefore sources of social support are necessary to encourage the links of that specific group. Thus, we agree with Santos et al. (2014), who claim that the social activities experienced in leisure allows individuals to have greater social connections, with positive influence on the perceptions of QoL²⁰.

The physical health domain includes facets about pain and discomfort, mobility, energy and fatigue, sleep and rest,

activities of daily living, medication dependency and work ability¹⁶. Thus, considering the limitations caused by cancer, it can establish the relation found in this study. Leisure activities showed significant impact on QoL for patients who reported having leisure time in their daily lives. However, this domain was not significant in the same evaluation for pet owners. So, for the leisure activities, this fact is in accordance with other studies that claim that these moments are associated with physical improvement to health and well-being^{18,29}.

CONCLUSIONS

We emphasized that this is the first article about the impact of pets on the QoL of this specific population. Based on the results

of this study, we conclude that patients with breast cancer who are pet owners and patients performing leisure activities have better QoL. Thus, emphasizing the importance of greater attention to programs that encourage leisure activities and specially the recognition of the benefits of pets to this group of patients, health professional must stimulate this condition because of the positive impacts on QoL.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS) for the Scientific Initiation Scholarship granted to the first author during her graduation, Hospital Pompéia and Centro Universitário da Serra Gaúcha (FSG).

REFERENCES

1. Groenvold M. Health-related quality of life in early breast cancer. *Dan Med Bull*. 2010;57(9):B4184.
2. The WHOQOL Group. The World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. *Soc Sci Med*. 1995;41(10):1403-9.
3. Härtl K, Engel J, Herschbach P, Reinecker H, Sommer H, Friese K. Personality traits and psychosocial stress: quality of life over 2 years following breast cancer diagnosis and psychological impact factors. *Psychooncol*. 2010;19(2):160-9.
4. Husson O, Mols F, van de Poll-Franse LV. The relation between information provision and health-related quality of life, anxiety and depression among cancer survivors: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol*. 2011;22(4):761-72.
5. McConnell AR, Brown CM, Shoda TM, Stayton LE, Martin CE. Friends with benefits: on the positive consequences of pet ownership. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2011;101(6):1239-52.
6. Siegel JM, Angulo FJ, Detels R, Wesch J, Mullen A. AIDS diagnosis and depression in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study: The ameliorating impact of pet ownership. *AIDS Care*. 1999;11(2):157-70.
7. Villalta-Gil V, Roca M, Gonzalez N, Domenec E, Cuca B, Escanilla A, et al. Dog-assisted therapy in the treatment of chronic schizophrenia inpatients. *Anthrozoos*. 2009; 22(1):149-59.
8. Ponde MP, Santana VS. Participation in Leisure Activities: Is It a Protective Factor for Women's Mental Health? *J Leis Res*. 2000;32(4):457-72.
9. Argimon ILL, Stein LM, Xavier FMF, Trentini CM. O impacto de atividades de lazer no desenvolvimento cognitivo de idosos. *Rev Bras Ciên Envelh Hum*. 2004;1(1):38-47.
10. Chang PJ, Wray L, Lin Y. Social Relationships, Leisure Activity, and Health in Older Adults. *Health Psychol*. 2014;33(6):516-23.
11. Brasil. Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde 2013: percepção do estado de saúde, estilos de vida e doenças crônicas: Brasil, grandes regiões e unidades da federação. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística; 2013.
12. American Pet Products Association. APPA national pet owners survey 2011–2012. 2011 [cited 20 Jun 2016]. Available from http://www.americanpetproducts.org/press_industrytrends.asp
13. Beetz A, Uvnäs-Moberg K, Julius H, Kotrschal K. Psychosocial and psychophysiological effects of human-animal interactions: the possible role of oxytocin. *Front Psychol*. 2012;3:234.
14. Chun S, Lee Y. The Role of Leisure in the Experience of Posttraumatic Growth for People with Spinal Cord Injury. *J Leis Res*. 2010;42(3):393-418.
15. Misener K, Doherty A, Hamm-Kerwin S. Learning from the experiences of older adult volunteers in sport: a serious leisure perspective. *J Leis Res*. 2010;42(2):267-89.
16. Fleck MPA. O instrumento de avaliação de qualidade de vida da Organização Mundial da Saúde (WHOQOL-100): características e perspectivas. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 2000;5(1):33-8.
17. Kleiber DA, Nimrod G. "I can't be very sad": constraint and adaptation in the leisure of a "learning in retirement" group. *Leisure Studies*. 2009;28(1):67-83.
18. Pressman S, Matthews KA, Cohen S, Martire LM, Scheier M, Baum A, et al. Association of enjoyable leisure activities with psychological and physical well-being. *Psychosoma Med*. 2009;71(7):725-32.
19. Pereira RJ, Cotta RMM, Franceschini SCC, Ribeiro RCL, Sampaio RF, Priore SE, et al. Contribuição dos domínios físico, social, psicológico e ambiental para a qualidade de vida global de idosos. *Rev Psiquiatr Rio Gd Sul*. 2006;28(1):27-38.
20. Santos PM, Marinho A, Mazo GZ, Hallal PC. Atividades no lazer e qualidade de vida de idosos de um programa de extensão universitária em Florianópolis (SC). *Rev Bras Ativ Fis Saúde*. 2014;19(4):494-503.

21. Orlandi M, Trangeled K, Mambrini A, Tagliani M, Ferrarini A, Zanetti L, et al. Pet therapy effects on oncological day hospital patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment. *Anticancer Res.* 2007;27(6C):4301-3.
22. Friedmann E, Son H. The human-companion animal bond: how humans benefit. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 2009;39(2):293-326.
23. Coleman D, Iso-Ahola SE. Leisure and health: the role of social support and self-determination. *J Leis Res.* 1993;25(2):111-28.
24. Hutchinson SL, Nimrod G. Leisure as a resource for successful aging by older adults with chronic health conditions. *Int J Aging Hum Dev.* 2012;74(1):41-65.
25. Walsh F. Human-animal bonds II: The role of pets in family systems and family therapy. *Fam Process.* 2009;48(4):481-99.
26. World Health Organization. *Salud y envejecimiento: un documento para el debate: versión preliminar.* Madrid: Institute for Migration and Social Services; 2011.
27. Wells DL. Domestic dogs and human health: an overview. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2007;12(1):145-56.
28. Dong ST, Butow PN, Tong A, Agar M, Boyle F, Forster BC, et al. Patients' experiences and perspectives of multiple concurrent symptoms in advanced cancer: a semi-structured interview study. *Support Care Cancer.* 2016;24(3):1373-86.
29. Wendel-Vos GC, Schuit AJ, Tjehuis MA, Kromhout D. Leisure time physical activity and health-related quality of life: cross-sectional and longitudinal associations. *Qual Life Res.* 2004;13(3):667-77.